

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Nebraska

United States of America )

v. )

DAIMAN O. REED )

Date of Previous Judgment: 04/23/08 )

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) )

Case No: 8:06CR162

USM No: 20870-047

DAVID STICKMAN

Defendant's Attorney

**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:


☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 70 months is reduced to 63 months.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to Any Departures)Previous Offense Level: 29Amended Offense Level: 24Criminal History Category: IIICriminal History Category: IIIPrevious Guideline Range: 108 to 135 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 63 to 78 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 04/23/08 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**Order Date: 11/28/2011


Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

Printed name and title